

Stephen J. Kottmeier, Esq. (State Bar No. 077060)
 Tracy E. Loftness (State Bar No. 196988)
 HOPKINS & CARLEY
 A Law Corporation
 The Letitia Building
 70 S. First Street
 San Jose, CA 95113-2406

mailing address:

P.O. Box 1469
 San Jose, CA 95109-1469
 Telephone: (408) 286-9800
 Facsimile: (408) 998-4790

E-FILED - 8/14/08

Attorneys for Defendants
 CRYSTAL DECISIONS, INC.,
 a Delaware Corporation
 CRYSTAL DECISIONS (UK) LIMITED,
 a Corporation registered in the United Kingdom
 and in Delaware; SUSAN J. WOLFE, an Individual;
 BUSINESS OBJECTS S.A., a French Corporation;
 and BUSINESS OBJECTS AMERICAS, a
 Delaware Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

VEDATECH KK., a Japanese Corporation,
 and MANI SUBRAMANIAN, an
 Individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CRYSTAL DECISIONS, INC., a Delaware
 Corporation; and CRYSTAL DECISIONS
 (UK) LIMITED, a Delaware Corporation;
 and CRYSTAL DECISIONS (UK)
 LIMITED, a United Kingdom Company;
 and SUSAN J. WOLFE, an Individual; and
 BUSINESS OBJECTS S.A., a French
 Corporation; and BUSINESS OBJECTS
 AMERICAS, a Delaware Corporation; and
 DOES 1 through 50,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C03 04578 RMW (RS)

**STIPULATION TO CHANGE HEARING
 DATE AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
 HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS
 AND [] ORDER**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“FRCP”) 26 and Northern District Civil Local Rules 6-1(a), 7-11 and 16-2(e), plaintiffs VEDATECH KK and MANI SUBRAMANIAN (collectively “Plaintiffs”) and defendants CRYSTAL DECISIONS, INC., CRYSTAL DECISIONS (UK) LIMITED, CRYSTAL DECISIONS (UK) LIMITED, SUSAN J. WOLFE, BUSINESS OBJECTS S.A., and BUSINESS OBJECTS AMERICAS (collectively “Defendants”), by and through their respective counsel or pro se, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. Defendants requested that Mr. Subramanian stipulate to a two court day extension of their time to file their moving papers in this matter to deal with a logistical problem of finalizing a declaration from a declarant in England who is abroad this week and will not be returning to his office until Monday, August 18, 2008.

2. Mr. Subramanian responded by proposing that all of the dates, including the hearing date (currently scheduled for Friday, October 3, 2008, at 9:00 a.m.), be changed to the following:

| | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Opening papers due: | August 22, 2008 |
| Opposition due: | September 26, 1008 |
| Reply due: | October 10, 2008 |
| Hearing Date: | October 31, 2008, 9:00 a.m. |

3. Mr. Subramanian stated that these changes were appropriate because he believes that he needs at least 5 weeks from any motions that defendants file to properly prepare an opposition.

4. Defendants are prepared to agree to Mr. Subramanian’s proposed schedule, subject to approval by the Court.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 5. Accordingly, the parties hereto stipulate that the Court may enter its order
2 changing the filing and hearing schedule to the dates set forth above.

3 Dated: August 12, 2008

HOPKINS & CARLEY
A Law Corporation

4
5
6 By: _____/s/
Stephen J. Kottmeier
Attorneys for Defendants
7 CRYSTAL DECISIONS, INC.,
CRYSTAL DECISIONS (UK) LIMITED,
8 SUSAN J. WOLFE, BUSINESS
OBJECTS S.A., and BUSINES OBJECTS
9 AMERICAS

10 Dated: August 12, 2008

MANI SUBRAMANIAN (pro per)

11
12 By: _____/s/
13 Mani Subramanian

14
15 **ORDER**

16 The parties having stipulated to continue the hearing on defendants motion to dismiss
17 scheduled for hearing on October 3, 2008 to October 31, 2008 at 9:00 a.m., with moving papers
18 due on August 22, 2008, opposition due on September 26, 2008, and reply due on October 10,
19 2008.

20 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: 8/14/08

Ronald M. Whyte

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE